1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. SA CR 98-00143 DDP (2) ORDER RE MOTION TO REDUCE Plaintiff, 12 SENTENCE 13 v. [DOCKET NUMBER 758] JAVIER PONCE CASTELLON, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Defendant has moved pro se pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 782 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines to 19 20 have his sentence reduced. Defendant's motion fails because he 21 received a sentence at the low end of his post-Amendment 782 22 Guidelines range. See United States v. Davis, 739 F.3d 1222, 23

have his sentence reduced. Defendant's motion fails because he received a sentence at the low end of his post-Amendment 782 Guidelines range. See United States v. Davis, 739 F.3d 1222, 1224-26 (9th Cir. 2014) (holding that USSG § 1B1.10(b) "prohibits a court from reducing a defendant's sentence to a term that is less than the minimum of the amended guidelines range, except in the case of a defendant who originally received a below-guidelines sentence based on substantial assistance to the government," and rejecting various legal challenges to the prohibition); see also

2.4

25

26

27

28

Case 8:98-cr-00143-DDP Document 808 Filed 05/10/16 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:798

1 United States v. Tercero, 734 F.3d 979, 981-84 (9th Cir. 2013) 2 (similar). Accordingly, Defendant's motion is DENIED. 4 IT IS SO ORDERED. б Dated: May 10, 2016 DEAN D. PREGERSON United States District Judge